## Regular Chains in BPAS

## 1 RegularChain Class Description

The regular chain classes in BPAS provide a collection of routines for solving systems of algebraic equations by means of exact methods, a.k.a. symbolic computation. The main commands for accomplishing this are the triangularize and intersect methods of the RegularChain class and the intersect method of the ZeroDimensionalRegularChain class. The objects of both these classes are regular chains. Becuase regular chains are mathematical objects that algebraically encode geometric components of the solution space, the solutions to a system of algebraic equations can be expressed as a set of regular chains. This is precisely what triangularize and intersect accomplish: for an input polynomial $p$ (for intersect) or algebraic system $S$ (for triangularize), the output is a description of the solution set as a collection of RegularChain objects.

To state clearly what the output of triangularize or intersect is, we must define the concept of a regular chain. To this end, first observe that algebraic equations act as constraints on the geometric space defined by the possible values of their variables (typically $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for $n$ variables). For a set $S$ of algebraic equations in $n$ variables, and with coefficients in a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, say the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers, the set of points in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ consistent with these algebraic constraints, i.e., the locus of common zeros of the equations in $S$, is a certain geometric object, the algebraic variety $V(S)$, in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. For example, if $S=\left\{x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right\}$, then $V(S)$ is the complex unit circle in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The strategy of the intersect algorithm is to compute the solution space by dividing it into socalled quasi-components, each of which is encoded by a special kind of algebraic system, called a regular chain, that has a particular structure.

There are two key structural properties of regular chains that allow them to encode distinct components of algebraic varieties. First of all, regular chains have a triangular structure, in the sense that for polynomials in $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, with $\mathbb{K}$ a field and variable ordering $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}$, the polynomials in a regular chain $T$ are non-constant and have pairwise distinct main variables. This implies that each variable can be the main variable of at most one element of $T$. So if $p \in T$ has $x_{i}$ as its main variable, the only other variables that can appear in $p$ are in $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right\}$. Regular chains are therefore triangular sets. For this reason, the RegularChain class in BPAS inherits from the TriangularSet class.

Having the structure of a triangular set allows a regular chain to encode a solution set in a manner analogous to linear systems in row echelon form. In this case, linear systems can be solved by back-substitution. Suppose that a linear system has $m$ equations and $n$ variables. If $m=n$ (and the system is non-singular), then the solution set is simply a unique point in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If $m<n$, however, then the solution set is a parameterized linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and if all the equations are linearly independent it has dimension $n-m$. The situation is similar for regular chains. If $m=n$ (same number of equations as variables) for a regular chain $T$, then the variety $V(T)$ is a set of points in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Thus, the non-linearity of the equations allows a single system $T$ to encode many solutions, even when the solutions are points. If $m<n$, on the other hand, then the variety $V(T)$ is a complex manifold of dimension $m-n$ embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. You may notice that we did not mention for regular chains an analogous condition to the non-singularity of linear systems. This is because regular chains have another structural property, over being triangular, that ensures they are "non-singular" in a sense that will now be made clear.

To see what the issue is here, consider the following example. Suppose that we have a triangular set $T=\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}\right\}=\left\{x_{1}^{2}-1,\left(x_{1}+1\right) x_{2}^{2}+1\right\}$, where $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{1}<x_{2}$. Consider $T_{2}$, which has the largest main variable, $x_{2}$, in the set. The leading coefficient of $T_{2}$ viewed as a univariate polynomial in its main variable, called the initial of $T_{2}$, is $x_{1}+1$. Provided that $x_{1} \neq-1, T_{2}$ provides a valid constraint on the ambient space of $T$. If $x_{1}=-1$, however, then the system becomes inconsistent, because $T_{2}$ asserts that $1=0$. So, provided we avoid this "singular" point things are fine. The problem with $T$, however, is that $T_{1}=\left(x_{1}+1\right)\left(x_{1}-1\right)$, so $T_{1}$ includes the "singular" case, so that at $x_{1}=-1$, $T$ gives an inconsistent set of constraints.

There are issues even if the system does not become inconsistent. Consider the positive-dimensional case where

$$
T=\left\{T_{1}, T_{3}\right\}=\left\{x_{1}^{2}-1 / 4,\left(x_{1}+1 / 2\right) x_{3}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}-5 / 4\right\}
$$

where $T_{1}, T_{3} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right], x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3}$. The constraint $T_{1}=0$ imposes the condition that $x_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2}$. At $x_{1}=1 / 2, T_{3}$ becomes $x_{3}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-1$, a circle in the $x_{2} x_{3}$-plane, and a one-dimensional manifold. But, at $x_{1}=-1 / 2, T_{3}$ becomes $x_{2}^{2}-1$, a degenerate two-point zero-dimensional case. This is another kind of "singular" case we wish to avoid. For positive dimensional regular chains, then, avoiding such "singular" cases means that the quasi-component of the chain has unmixed dimension, i.e., the dimension is constant across all of $W(T)$.

Thus, to avoid the possibility that a triangular set can be "singular" in these ways, we must ensure that the initials of the polynomials in the set can never be zero. Let $T_{k}$ be the polynomial of $T$ with main variable $x_{k}$, if it exists, let $x_{i}$ be the largest main variable of a polynomial in a triangular set $T$, with $T$ non-empty, and let $T_{<i}=_{\text {def }} T \backslash T_{i}$. The polynomials in $T$ generate an ideal $\langle T\rangle$ that itself generates the variety $V(T)$. To rule out the case that $h_{T_{i}}$ can be zero it must certainly be the case that $h_{T_{i}} \notin\left\langle T_{<i}\right\rangle$, i.e., $h_{T_{i}}$ must not be zero modulo $\left\langle T_{<i}\right\rangle$ (we consider $T_{<i}$ and not $T$ here because $T_{<i}$ places the constraints
on variables less than $x_{i}$, and $h_{T_{i}}$ has only variables less than $x_{i}$ ). But this is not the only situation in which $h_{T_{i}}$ can be zero on some part of $V\left(T_{<i}\right)$. If there exist any polynomials in $q \in \mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ such that $h_{T_{i}}^{k} \cdot q \in\left\langle T_{<i}\right\rangle$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., any constraints $q$ such that either $q=0$ or $h_{T_{i}}=0$ holding guarantees that we are in $V\left(T_{<i}\right)$, then there are still parts of $V\left(T_{<i}\right)$ on which $h_{T_{i}}=0$. In this case, $h_{T_{i}}$ is a zero-divisor modulo $\left\langle T_{<i}\right\rangle$. Thus, to avoid "singular" cases, we must therefore prevent $h_{T_{i}}$ from being zero or a zero-divisor modulo $\left\langle T_{<i}\right\rangle$.

Since we can repeat this reasoning for all of the initals of the polynomials $T_{j}$ in a chain modulo the ideals generated by $T_{<j}$, we require that an analogous "non-singular" condition holds simultaneously on all of the initials of the polynomials in $T$, i.e., for $h_{T}$, so that none of the initials of polynomials in $T$ can ever be zero or a zero-divisor. The concept we need to make this precise is the saturated ideal of a triangular set $T$, denoted $\operatorname{sat}(T)$, which is the set of polynomials $q \in \mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ such that $h_{T}^{k} \cdot q \in\langle T\rangle, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Given that we need to avoid zeros and zero-divisors modulo an ideal, we naturally define a polynomial to be regular modulo an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ if it is neither zero nor a zero-divisor modulo $\mathcal{I}$. We then finally have that a triangular set $T \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is a regular chain if either (1) $T$ is empty, or (2) $T_{<T_{\max }}$ is a regular chain, where $T_{\max }$ is the polynomial in $T$ with greatest main variable, and the initial of $T_{\max }$ is regular modulo sat $\left(T_{<\max }\right)$.

Since regular chains work with the ideal $\operatorname{sat}(T)$, we ensure that the points picked out by a regular chain are in $W(T)=V(T) \backslash V\left(h_{T}\right)$, as pointed out above. $W(T)$ is a quasi-component because it is defined by removing a lower dimensional boundary, and hence its zero set is not in general actually a variety (not closed in the Zariski topology); its Zariski closure $\overline{W(T)}$, however, is precisely $V(\operatorname{sat}(T)) \subseteq V(T)$.

## 2 triangularize

For a set $F$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, which can be encoded as as vector $F$ of SparseMultivariateRationalPolynomial objects (abbreviated with typedef SMQP), which have RationalNumber coefficients (abbreviated with typedef RN) ), we can compute the triangular decomposition of the variety $V(F)$ by defining an empty regular chain over the ambient space defined by the variable ordering $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}$ by calling

```
vector<Symbol> R = {'x_n',...,'x_2','x_1'};
RegularChain T(R);
and then calling
```

```
vector<RegularChain<RN,SMQP>> dec;
```

vector<RegularChain<RN,SMQP>> dec;
dec = T.triangularize(F);

```
dec = T.triangularize(F);
```

For example, to compute the intersection of the unit sphere $p_{1}=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-1 \epsilon$ $\mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]$ and the unit circle $p_{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}-1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$, with $z<y<x$, in the ambient space $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ with Cartesian coordinates $x, y, z$, then we can use

```
vector<SMQP> F = {SMQP("x^2+y^2+z^2-1"),SMQP("x^2+y^2-1")};
vector<Symbol> R = {'x','y','z'};
RegularChain<RN,SMQP> T(R);
vector<RegularChain<RN,SMQP>> dec;
dec = T.triangularize(F);
for (auto d : dec)
    d.display();
which produces the output
```

```
/
```

/
| x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0
| x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0
<
<
| z = 0
| z = 0
\

```
    \
```

which is a regular chain that picks out the complex unit circle in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, described as the intersection of the unit cylinder $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1=0\right)$ and the $x y$-plane $(z=0)$.

Note that the triangularize method can also be called with a non-empty regular chain $T$. In this case it will compute the intersection of the zero set of the set $F$ of input polynomials and the quasi-component of $T$. This is the sort of case handled by the method intersect.

## 3 intersect

The method intersect of the RegularChain class is essentially a special case of triangularize for a single polynomial input (or contrariwise, and more acurately, triangularize is really just a wrapper for intersect). For a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, encoded as an SMQP object p , and a regular chain $T$ over the ambient space defined by the variable ordering $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}$, encoded as a RegularChain<RN, SMQP> object T, we can compute the intersection of the variety $V(p)$ and the quasi-component $W(T)$ by calling

```
vector<RegularChain<RN,SMQP>> dec;
dec = T.intersect(p);
```

For example, suppose that $T$ is the result of the example for triangularize above. Then we can compute the intersection of the complex unit circle and the line $x=y$ with the code
dec[0]. upper (Symbol ("z"), T);
which defines $T$ to be the regular chain formed by removing the $z$-component from the previous result (since our present computation is really in the complex $x y$-plane), followed by the code

```
SMQP p("x-y");
dec = T.intersect(p);
for (auto d : dec)
    d.display();
```

which produces the output

```
/
    | x - y = 0
<
    | 2*y^2 - 1 = 0
\
```

so that the intersection is just the points $(x, y)=( \pm 1 / \sqrt{2}, \pm 1 / \sqrt{2})$, as expected.

## 4 regularize

The method regularize is a routine that will take a polynomial $p$ and a regular chain $T$ and decompose $T$ into regular chains of two types: components on which $p$ is regular modulo sat $(T)$, the regular case; and components on which $p$ is zero modulo sat $(T)$, the singular case. The return type of regularize is a vector of PolyChainPair<PolyType, RegularChainType> objects. If A is a PolyChainPair object, then we can access the polynomial as A.poly and the regular chain as A.chain. For the singular components returned by regularize, A.poly is zero, and for the regular components it is non-zero.

For example, suppose that a regular chain $T$ has two polynomials, $T_{3}=$ $x^{2}+y^{2}-z$, describing an elliptic paraboloid, and $T_{2}=y(y-1)$, describing a parabolic cylinder. Then suppose that we want to determine the regular and singular components of $T$ for $p=x y$, a saddle surface. Then we can do so with the following code:

```
vector<Symbol> R = {'x','y','z'};
T = RegularChain<RN,SMQP>(R); // Empty chain with ordered ring R
T += SMQP("x^2+y^2-z");
T += SMQP("y*(y-1)");
p = SMQP("x*y");
vector<PolyChainPair<SMQP,RegularChain<RN,SMQP>>> components;
components = T.regularize(p);
cout << "Regular Components" << endl;
for (auto c : components) {
    if (!c.poly.isZero())
            c.chain.display();
}
cout << "Singular Components" << endl;
for (auto c : components) {
    if (c.poly.isZero())
        c.chain.display();
}
```

which produces the output

```
Regular Components
    /
    | x^2 - z + 1 = 0
<
    |y-1=0
    \
Singular Components
    /
    | x^2 - z = 0
<
    | y = 0
    \
```

Thus, $p=x y$ is regular on the parabola $z=x^{2}+1$ in the plane $y=1$ and singular on the parabola $z=x^{2}$ in the $x z$ plane.

